

FILED MAY 20 10 28 AM SDNY

(A)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
AGREEMENT BETWEEN
PARTIES

PROSE

CASE: 3:20-CV-00713-SB

STIRUNG V PEOPLES REPUBLIC OF CHINA, CHINESE COMMUNIST PART, WUTAN LAB,
CHINESE MILITARY, CHINESE MEDICAL OPERATIONS

I SWEAR UNDER OATH AND
PENALTY OF PERJURY THE
FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TRUE.

IN MY ABILITY TO GET
AROUND SOVEREIGN AMUNITY ISSUES
WITH CHINA AND SERVICE ISSUES
I CONTACTED THEM AT THE
CHINESE EMBASSY AT 1450
LAGUNA ST, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
94115 BY TELEPHONE AT 415-852-5900

(B)
2

I SPOKE TO AN OFFICIAL
NAMED CONSULET LEE OF THE P.R.C.
AND ASKED HIM FOR A WAIVER
OF SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY. HE SAID
HE WOULD GET BACK TO ME.
THAT WAS 05/19/20.

I ALSO ASKED ABOUT SERVICE
AFTER EXPLAINING THAT MY
SUIT WAS AGAINST THE "PEOPLE'S
REPUBLIC OF CHINA", THE "COMMUNIST
PARTY OF CHINA", THE "CHINESE ARMY"
AND THE "WUHAN BIOLOGICAL LAB".

HE AGREED THE ONLY ENTITY

③
3

HAVING SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY WAS
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.
THE OTHER 3 ENTITIES DID NOT
HAVE ANY SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY AND
THAT INDEED THOSE ENTITIES
COULD BE SERVED AT THAT
EMBASSY.

I EXPLAINED MY PROBLEM
DUE TO MY INCARCERATION OF
TRANSLATING PAPERS INTO CHINESE
FROM ENGLISH. HE TOLD ME
HE UNDERSTOOD AND THAT IT
WAS "NOT NECESSARY". HE EXPLAINED

①
4

WITH THE MANY DIFFERENT
DIALECTS, (EG MANDARIN, CANTONESE, ECT)
ENGLISH AS THE UNIVERSAL LANGUAGE
OF BUSINESS WAS SUFFICIENT.

HE ASSURED ME THAT THE
PROPER ENTITIES WOULD GET
THE PAPERS I SEND AND THAT
STANDARD FIRST CLASS MAIL WAS
ALL HE REQUIRED.

HE DID SAY THAT ANY REALY
MAY BE SOMIZ TIME COMMING
UNDER THE PRESENT SITUATION
HERE IN THE UNITED STATES,

(E)
5

I WAS SURPRISED AT HIS
OPENESS AND FRIENDLYNESS
TOWARDS WHAT MOST WOULD
CONSIDER A INVADING CALL.
I THANKED HIM AND ASKED IF
I COULD USE HIS NAME AND
THE VERBAL AGREEMENTS WE
HAD ARRIVED AT IN MY CASE.
HE AGREED WITHOUT HESITATION.
I ARGUE I HAVE MADE
AN AGREEMENT WITH THE
DEFENDANT'S AS TO SERVICE
OF DOCUMENT UNDER RULE 28 USC 1608

(F)
6

28 USC 1608 (b) (1) WAS USED
IN THIS CASE. (b) (1) STATES
" BY DELIVERY OF A COPY OF THE
SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT IN
ACCORDANCE WITH ANY SPECIAL
ARRANGEMENT FOR SERVICE
BETWEEN THE PLAINTIFF AND
THE AGENCY OR INSTRUMENTALITY."
IN THIS CASE THE AGENCY WAS
THE CHINESE EMBASSY AS
DESCRIBED ABOVE,

28:1330 UNDER WHICH I AM
SIGNED ALLOWS THIS COURT

⑥
7

JURISDICTION UNDER 28 USC 1330 (A) (b)

1330 (b) STATES " PERSONAL

JURISDICTION OVER A FOREIGN

STATE SHALL EXIST AS TO EVERY

CLAIM FOR RELIEF OVER WHICH

THE DISTRICT COURT HAVE

JURISDICTION UNDER SUBSECTION

(a) WHERE SERVICE HAS BEEN

MADE UNDER SECTION 1608"

AS I HAVE PROVED ALREADY,

THIS CLAIM FOR DAMAGES WAS

SERVED UNDER 28 USC 1608(b)(1)

AND IS COMPLIANT WITH 1330 JURISDICTION

(H)
8

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


I SWEAR UNDER OATH AND PENALTY
OF PERJURY I SERVED ALL THE
DEFENDANT BY PRISON MAILING SYSTEM
AT THE ADDRESS BELOW. 05/31/2020

STIRLING U CHINA, ETAL

CASE 3:20 - CV - 00713 - SB

CHINESE EMBASSY
PEOPLES REPUBLIC OF CHINA
1450 LAGUNA ST,
San Francisco, CALIF. 94115
(BY AGREEMENT)

05/31/2020


JOHN PHILIP STIRLING
30326 - 086 J-1 217
SHERINA FAC
PO BOX 6000
SHERINA OR
97378

FILED 26 MAY '20 10:27 USDC-ORF

TO: CLERK OF THE COURT

I AM A PRISONER IN A
FEDERAL PRISON AND UNDER A
QUARANTINE LOCKDOWN IN MY
CELL. I HAVE NO ACCESS TO
A TYPEWRITER OR COMPUTER WITH
SPELLCHECK. I HAVE NO ACCESS TO
UNLINED PAPER. UNDER ARTICLE 72, 10 A
THE DOCUMENT CAN NOT BE REJECTED
FOR FORM OR DEFECT. I UNDERSTAND YOU
KNOW THIS AND WISH ALL OUR CIRCUMSTANCES WERE
DIFFERENT, INCLUDING YOURS. I THANK YOU
FOR YOUR HELP IN ADVANCE.

JOHN STIRLING

30326-086

